Source/year	Population	Interventions/collected	Outcome	Results	comment	Verdict /
		data				Strength
Case-control s	tudies (4)					
Saarinen ⁴⁰	150/236 infants	Prolonged BF - >6m	Allergic diseases	<1 month BF:	High rates of food	Verdict: C/U
1995		Intermediate – 1-6m	Food allergy per	highest prevalence of	allergy:	Strength: L
Finland		Short or no BF (<1m)	history	food allergy at age 1-3	24% at age 3 years	
		Follow-up to age 17 y		years. Comparable rates	7% at adolescence	
				at older ages		
Sanchez-	IgE-CMA- 119	Duration of exclusive BF:	Comparison of IgE-	IgE CMA was associated	Comparison to	Verdict: C
Valverde ⁴¹	Non IgE CMA-	Prolonged - ≥2m	and non-IgE CMA	with shorter duration of	non-allergic	Strength: L
2009	106			EBF	controls was not	
Spain					performed	
Liao ⁴²	258 infants	Feeding history collected	Milk-sIgE at ages 6,	Decreased risk of	No difference in	Verdict: U
2014	Unselected	at 6 m	12, 18, 24, 36 m	sensitization to CMP at	milk-slgE at age	Strength: M
Taiwan	birth cohort	slgE measured		ages 12, 18, 24 m in	36 m	
				those EBF >4 m		
Onizawa ⁴³	CMA - 51	Parent reported	<u>CMF</u>	CMA Control		Verdict: P
2016	Control -102	Early regular CM once	Any at Maternity:	31(60.8%) 73(70.6%) 0.3	273	Strength: M
Japan		daily within the first m	EBF	35(68.6%) 24(23.5) P<	0.001	
		until 6m or CMA	Early CM	6(11.8%) 60(58.8%) P<	0.001	
			Early Reg Cont CMF	2(3.9) 52(51%) P<	<0.001	

Table 1. Effect of early CMF introduction on the development of CMA - Observational Studies (12)

Source/year	Population	Interventions/collected data	Outcome	Results	comment	Verdict / Strength
Population-ba	sed studies (8)					
Host ^{45,46} 1988, 1991 Denmark	1749 infants	Feeding history in the 1 st month of life CMI – various symptoms which resolved with elimination diet and appeared on re- introduction CMA – CMI+CM sensitization	CMA/CMI in the first year of life	EBF – 1.7% CMF± BF – 4.5%	The difference was only in CMI while for CMA the rates were similar	Verdict: C/U Strength: L
Katz ²⁴ 2011 Israel	13,019 infants followed from birth	Age of regular CMF introduction Parent reported feeding	CMA (diagnosed in 0.5%) SPT and OFC	CMA prevalence: 0-14 d- 0.05% 15-104 d- 0.5% >105 d -1.75%	CMF might be accompanied with BF	Verdict: P Strength: H
Goldsmith ⁴⁸ 2016 Australia "HealthNuts"	5276 infants Examined at age 1 Y	Record of EBF duration Parent reported	FA- 11.3% CMA- 0.6%	No effect of age of exposure to CMF on FA	Age of diagnosis of CMA and duration of EBF was not reported	Verdict: U Strength: M
Tran ⁴⁹ 2017 Canada "CHILD"	2124 infants	Questionnaire at 3,6,12,18, 24m, 1y – SPT	Delay introduction o odds of sensitization	f CMF increases the to CMP	Milk allergy was not reported	Verdict: P Strength: M
Peters ⁵⁰ 2019 Australia "HealthNuts"	5276 infants Examined at age 1 Y 2715 skin tested	Parent reported Exposure to CMF in 1 st 3m	CMA rates based on exposure to CMF at 0-3m	Exposed – 0.4% Not exposed-1.3%	CMA diagnosed as parent reported event + SPT≥2mm	Verdict: P Strength: H

Lachover ⁵¹	635/1560	-Exclusive BF	Milk allergy at age	Exclusive BF – 9	Abstract form	Verdict: P
2021	(40.7%)	-BF with ≥1 CMF daily	1 y	(1.77%)		Strength: M
Israel	newborn infants	CMF only		CMF±BF – 0		
				RR=1.96		
Tezuka ⁵²	80,408 infants	CMF consumption at age	Physician	CMF <3 m – reduction	The protective effect	Verdict: P
2021		<3 months, 3-6 months,	diagnosed CMA	of CMA 6m – aRR 0.42	was lost if infants	Strength: H
Japan		6-12 months	prior to age 6 or 12	12m - aRR 0.44	discontinued regular	
"JECS"			m		CMP consumption at	
					3-6 m	
Switkowski ⁴⁴	1298/2128	Exposure to CMP:	Parent-reported	CMAR age 2-5 y:	No association	Verdict: P
2022	infants	-<2weeks – 32%	CM adverse	<2weeks -3%,	between CMP	Strength: H
USA	Milk slgE	-2w<6m – 38%	reaction (CMAR)	2w<6m – 5%	introduction and CM	
	measured in	->6m- 30%	CM-slgE	>6m- 7.5%	sensitization and	
	505 infants		CMA = sensitization	<2w and formula at	allergy in the subset	
			+ EpiPen carriage	delivery – 1.8%	tested	
				<2w and no formula at		
				delivery – 8.0%		

Verdict on early introduction of CMP: C=Con, U=Undetermined, P=Pro Strength: L=Low, M=Medium, H=High (E)BF – (Exclusive)Breastfeeding, CM(A)=Cow milk (allergy), CMF=Cow milk formula

Source/year	Population	Interventions/collected data	Outcome	Results	comment	Verdict /
						Strength
Perkin ⁵³	Singleton 3	-Early Introduction group (EIG): 2	food allergy to	CMA rates:	The definition of	Verdict: U
2016	month-old	g of CMF twice weekly	one or more of	Control- 3/525	CMP avoidance	Strength: M
UK, Wales	exclusively	-Avoidance: EBF until 6 m	the six foods	EIG- 1/415	was a daily	
"EAT"	breastfed		between 1 year		formula intake of	
	infants		and 3 years of		less than 300 ml	
			age		(9 grams of CMP)	
Sakihara ⁵⁵	Newborns	-Ingestion group:	CMA based on	CMA:	The 2 CMA	Verdict: P
2021	from 4	At least 10 ml cm from ≤1 m until	SPT and OFC at	Ingestion- 0.8%	patients in the	Strength: H
Japan	hospitals in	3m (n=242)	3m, 6m	Avoidance- 6.8%	ingestion group	
	Japan	-Avoidance group:			ingested only	
		BF±Soy (n=249)			small amounts of	
					CMF. BF was not	
					impaired	
Quake ⁵⁶	180 infants	Food avoidance until age 12 m	OFC 2-4 years	None of the	It is not specified	Verdict: P
2022	Age 4-6 m	Milk early introduction groups:	after the start	infants in the	how many	Strength: M
USA		-Single milk	of the study	consumption	infants who	
		-Milk/egg, milk/peanut		groups, developed	received milk	
		Mixture of 10 foods + milk		CMA	early as a single	
		*low protein (total 300 mg)			food or a 2-food	
		*medium protein (900 mg)			combination	
		*high protein (3000 mg)			developed CMA.	
Skjerven ⁵⁷	2397	Intervention type:	Any food	Food allergy/CMA:	Food	Verdict: U
2022	newborns	-None=597	allergy at age	None- 2.3%/0.34%	intervention was	Strength: M
Norway and		-Skin emollient =575	36 m	Skin- 3.0%/)/17%	started at 3	
Sweden		-Early food at 3 m=642		Food- 0.9%/0.17%	months	
"PreventADALL"		-Combined= 583		Comb1.2%/0%		
		Foods– Peanut, CMP, wheat, egg				

Table 2. Effect of early	CMF introduction on the	e development of CMA	 interventional studies (4)
--------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	--

Verdict on early introduction of CMP: C=Con, U=Undetermined, P=Pro

Strength: L=Low, M=Medium, H=High

(E)BF – (Exclusive)Breastfeeding, CM(A)=Cow milk (allergy), CMF=Cow milk formula

Source/year	Population	Interventions/collec	Outcome	Results				comment	Verdict /
		ted data							Strength
Sakihara ⁵⁹	374	Retrospective cohort	CMA at age	<u>CMA (171)</u>	#(%)	OFC (84)	persist(90)	Exposed 0-	Verdict: P
2016	patients	study	3-24 m	Bf =75	46(61.3)	22	24(32)	3m – 0.4%	Strength: M
Japan	with egg	CMF ingestion during		Temporary =177	95(53.6)	44	48(27.2)	CMA	
	allergy	the first 3 months of		Nondaily= 47	19(40.4)	12	8(17.02) *		
		life		Continuous=75	11(14.7)	6	4(0.5)*		
Nishimura ⁶⁰	163 infants	Interventional	Food allergy	All foods: PP- 19/80	MP-7/83	p=0.006	6	CMP was	Verdict: P/U
2022	with AD at	Placebo: PP (n=80)	at age 18 m	For milk: PP-6/80	MP-2/83	3 ns		gradually	Strength: M
Japan	age 3-4 m	Mixed powder (MP)						increased	
		containing milk, soy,						but reached	
		buckwheat, wheat,						only 20 mg	
		peanut, egg (n=83)							

Table 3. Effect of early CMF introduction on the development of CMA in high risk patients (2)

Verdict on early introduction of CMP: C=Con, U=Undetermined, P=Pro CMA=Cow milk allergy, OFC=Oral food challenge

Table 4. Effect of <u>temporary</u> neonatal exposure to cow's milk on the development of CMA (10)

Source/year	Рор.	Study design	Interventions/ collected data	Outcome	Results	comment	Effect/ Strength/ Timing
Lindofrs ²⁹ 1988 Sweden	General	Interventional -BF -Up to 60 ml CMF at 6 h and until mother's lactation started	BF- 112 CMF- 104	Atopic diseases at ages 3,6,18 m	Lower in CMF at 18 m		Effect: I/U Strength: M Timing: T
Lindofrs ⁶² 1992 Sweden			BF- 95 CMF- 88	Atopic disease at age 5 y CM sensitization at age 5 y	No difference in atopic diseases BF= no CM sensitization CMF=3 CM sensitization		Effect: I/U Strength: M Timing: T
Juvonen ⁶³ 1996 Sweden	General	Interventional randomly assigned at birth for supplements in the first 3 d of life followed by exclusive BF	HM- 53 CMF- 39 CHF- 37	CMA at age 3y	Human milk -0/53 CMF -1/39 Casein hydrolysate formula -0/37	Interested mothers who volunteered at the beginning of pregnancy	Verdict: I/U Strength: M Timing: T
Saarinen ⁶⁶ 1999 Finland	General	Interventional, randomly assigned to Specific supplements for 4 days followed by BF with CMF as required	BF- 824 CMF- 1789 HM- 1859 EHF- 1737	Adverse reaction to OFC with CM at age 18-34 m	Exposure to CM while in the hospital increased the risk of CMA (OR, 1.54; <i>P</i> =.03)	In the CM group the subsequently allergic infants had been given smaller daily amounts of formula than the tolerant infants.	Verdict: I Strength: M Timing: T

Source/year	Рор.	Study design	Interventions/col lected data	Outcome	Results	comment	Verdict/ Strength/ Timing
Saarinen ⁷² 2000 Finland	IgE (+) CMA- 75 IgE (-) CMA- 43	Case control	Exposure to cow's milk at hospital, and at home during the first 8 weeks of life	IgE(+) CMA	IgE(+) CMA was increased by exposure to CM at hospital, and by no or minimal exposure at home during the first 8 weeks	symptoms suggestive of CMA included a broad range of symptoms not necessarily reflecting CMA	Verdict: I Strength: M Timing: T
de Jong ^{64,65} 2002 Netherlands "BOKKAL"	General	Interventional DBPC Supplements in the first 3 d of life	CMF- 758 No CMF- 775	Allergic disease CM sIgE (2y, 5Y)	No differences	~50% of participants were not exclusively breastfed despite their intention	Verdict: U Strength: M Timing: T
Urashima ⁶⁷ 2019 Japan	General	Interventional BF±AA for the first 3 days followed by BF±CMF BF+CMF >5ml /day for 5 months	n=151 for each group	Cow's milk sensitization at 24 m CMA	Sensitization: BF±EF = 16.8% BF+CMF = 32.2% CMA: BF±EF = 0.7% BF+CMF = 6.6% Switching from BF/EF to BF+CMF at any time did not increase CMA	Mothers in the BF+CMF group could ignore the protocol to skip feeding CMF for a while, e.g., 2 weeks, because of enough amounts of BF	Verdict: I Strength: M Timing: T
Kelly ⁷⁰ 2019 Ireland	CMA- 55 Control- 55	Retrospective case control Feeding pattern in first 24h in	-BF -BF + formula -Formula	СМА	Rate of CMA in exclusive BF was lower compared to BF + formula but comparable to exclusively formula	Data on the type of feeding beyond the first 24 hours of life was not provided	Verdict: U Strength: M Timing: na

Source/year	Рор.	Study design	Interventions/colle cted data	Outcome	Results	comment	Verdict/ Strength/ Timing
Garcette ⁷¹ 2022 France	CMA- 554 Conts- 211	Retrospective case control recruited at age 6-9 months Bf at least 1m	Records of supplemental feeding at maternity	СМА	Feeding bottle at maternity hospital (OR = 1.81 [1.27;2.59]) and avoidance of dairy products during pregnancy or breast feeding (OR = 5.62 [1.99; 15.87]) were independent risk factors of CMA	39% received at least 1 complementary bottle at maternity. In 62.2% it was CMF	Verdict: I Strength: M Timing: T
Sakihara ⁷³ 2022 Japan	General	Observational	CMF consumption in the first 3 days of life followed by: -consume at least 10 mL of CMF daily -avoiding CMF between 1 and 2 m of age	CMA at age 6 m	Dis. <1m -7/17 (41.2%) Dis. 1-2m 3/26 (11.5%) Dis. 3-5m 7/69 (10.1%) Cont. 2/319 (0.6%)		Verdict: I Strength: H Timing: T

Effect of **very** early introduction of CMP on CMA: I=Increase, U=Unchanged, D=Decrease Timing: T=Transient, P=Persistent